

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

<u> </u>			Attack Committee of the	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOO	KET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,588	10/24/2003	Seog-soon Baek	249/417	7,314
7590 05/27/2004		EXAMINER		EXAMINER
LEE & STER	RBA, P.C.			DO, AN H
Suite 2000			+	
1101 Wilson E	Boulevard		ART UNI	T PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA	A 22209		2853	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/691,588	BAEK ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	 ;
	An H. Do	2853	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover shet w	ith the correspondence address	i
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communi	ication.
Status			- 1
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	Octobor 2002		* * .
	his action is non-final.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			ts is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	i ⊏x parte Quayie, 1935 C.D	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			• .
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-10 is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			(, =, 7,
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2003 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ol	bjected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	÷
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.		•
2 Certified copies of the priority docume	•	·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not a	received.	
Attachment(s)	ar one grown so Maxilla is natural into the area and	the second second second second second	o service of the control of
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)	
2))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/24/03 & 3/9/04</u> .	6) Cther:		

Art Unit: 2853

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-4 in Paper filed on 12 May 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claims 9-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper filed on 12 May 2004.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 24 October 2003 and 09 March 2004 were filed and are being considered by the examiner.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA)

Art Unit: 2853

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/682,961. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in both instant application and the copending application claiming the same subject matter of an inkjet printhead as shown in the following Comparison Table:

Art Unit: 2853

U.S. Application No. 10/691,588 CLAIM

1. An ink-jet printhead, comprising:

a substrate on which an ink chamber to be supplied with ink to be ejected is formed on a front surface of the substrate, a manifold for supplying ink to the ink chamber is formed on a rear surface of the substrate, and an ink passage in communication with the ink chamber and the manifold is formed parallel to the front surface of the substrate;

a nozzle plate formed on the front surface of the substrate;

a nozzle formed through the nozzle plate through which ink is ejected from the ink chamber;

a heater formed on the nozzle plate; and

an electrode electrically connected to the heater for applying current to the heater.

U.S. Application No. 10/682,961 CLAIM

1. An ink-jet printhead, comprising:

a substrate having an ink chamber on a front surface thereof, the ink chamber to be supplied with ink to be ejected, a manifold for supplying ink to the ink chamber on a rear surface thereof, and an ink channel in communication with the ink chamber and the manifold;

an impurity filtering layer formed on the rear surface of the substrate between the manifold and the ink channel for filtering impurities in ink flowing into the ink channel from the manifold;

a nozzle plate formed on the front surface of the substrate:

a nozzle formed through the nozzle plate at a position corresponding to a central part of the ink chamber,

a heater formed on the nozzle plate, the heater being formed around the nozzle; and

an electrode electrically connected to the heater for applying current to the heater.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al (EP 1174268 A1).

Art Unit: 2853

Page 5

Lee et al discloses in Figures 2-7 an ink-jet printhead (3), comprising: a substrate (100) on which an ink chamber (104) to be supplied with ink to be ejected is formed on a front surface of the substrate (100), a manifold (102) for supplying ink to the ink chamber on a rear surface thereof, and an ink channel (106) in communication with the ink chamber and the manifold; a nozzle plate (110) formed on the front surface of the substrate; a nozzle (160) formed through the nozzle plate at a position corresponding to a central part of the ink chamber; a heater (120) formed on the nozzle plate (110), the heater (120) being formed around the nozzle (160); and an electrode (140) electrically connected to the heater for applying current to the heater; wherein the ink chamber (104), the manifold (102), and the ink passage (106) are formed by an etch method (Figure 15, column 9, lines 17-20 and lines 52-58); the ink passage (106) is formed on a same plane as the ink chamber (104), and comprises an ink channel (106) in communication with the ink chamber (104) and a feed hole (not shown in Figures but is known as the ink supply means) in communication with the ink channel and the manifold.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Silverbrook (US 5,880,759) discloses an inkjet printhead having a heater layer formed on the nozzle plate. Drake et al (US 4,639,748) discloses an inkjet printhead with integral ink filter. Cielo et al (US 4,275,290) discloses a thermal printhead having heating elements formed in the orifices.

Art Unit: 2853

Page 6

Contact Information

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An H. Do whose telephone number is 571-272-2143. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

An H. Do

May 24, 2004